UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,630	01/31/2006	Noriyuki Sakoh	277513US6PCT	7218	
	7590 02/27/200 AK, MCCLELLAND I	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			JACOB, AJITH		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2161			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/27/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,630	SAKOH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
AJITH JACOB	2161	

		AJITH JACOB		2161	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sh	neet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY	FILED <u>10 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	IS APPLICATION IN C	ONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
applica applica	ply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o ation, applicant must timely file one of the followin ation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Ap ntinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 s:	ig replies: (1) an amend opeal (with appeal fee) i	dment, affidavit in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔲 Th	e period for reply expiresmonths from the mail	ling date of the final rejecti	on.		
no Ex	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire aminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o DNTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0	e later than SIX MONTHS or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX	from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
	time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The day				
under 37 CFR set forth in (b)	ed is the date for purposes of determining the period of a 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lating earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(5 APPEAL	e shortened statutory perion ter than three months afte	od for reply origi	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as
	otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con	npliance with 37 CFR 4	1.37 must be f	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing th	ne Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extor of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	tension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	oroposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	n, but prior to the date o	of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) 🔲	They raise new issues that would require further of the raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or se			
i	They are not deemed to place the application in bappeal; and/or		-		ne issues for
(d) 🔲	They present additional claims without canceling a	•	er of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The a	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	• •	iaa of Nan Car	mpliant Amandmant (I	OTOL 224)
	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(		ice of Non-Col	mpliant Amendment (r	-10L-324).
6. Newly	proposed or amended claim(s) would be lowable claim(s).		n a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For pu how th The stand Claim( Claim( Claim(	rposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): are new or amended claims would be rejected is pratus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  (s) allowed:  (s) objected to:  (s) rejected: 1.3-11 and 13-18.  (s) withdrawn from consideration:			l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
becaus	fidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, I se applicant failed to provide a showing of good a ot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
entere	fidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin d because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ng a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejection	s under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat	tion of the status of the	claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. 🛛 The r	request for reconsideration has been considered becoming the Sheet.	but does NOT place the	e application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12.	the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s)	). (PTO/SB/08) Paper N	No(s)		
		/Etianne D	LoDouwi		
		/Etienne P Primary Exa	LeRoux/ aminer, <b>A</b> rt U	nit 2161	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: This action is responsive to applicant's arguments filed on 2/11/2009 that has been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Odamura et al. (GB 2,360,912 A) does not teach automatic vertical and horizontal scrolling for remaining data after the partial text data for claims 1, 5, 6 and 11. The reference clearly teaches the determination of scrolling done by the client itself based on display capacity [page 22, lines 5-11]. This provides evidence for Figure 12 to prove that user input or determination is not necessary for scrolling, thus making it automatic. Odamura et al. also clearly teaches the display transmitting data for scrolling until the defined greatest amount of data is reached and the scrolling to next page [page 12, lines 4-21]. Figure 12 also shows the scrolling to nextpage feature. Since the automatic scrolling is taught by the reference and the maximum amount of data on one page and scrolling to next page is defined, the reference clearly teaches over the instant application's claim language.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajith Jacob whose telephone number is 571-270-1763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 EST, Every other Friday off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AJ Patent Examiner February 20, 2009